

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,237	03/18/2004	Beat Heer	A01503	5627	
Kenneth Crima	7590 03/26/200° ıldi	1	EXAM	INER	
Rohm and Haas Company			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
100 Independer Philadelphia, P			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
* '			1616		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	03/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Attachment(s)

Period for Reply

Application Papers

Status

1)	ш	Notice	OI P	cereren	ces C	itea (i	710	-892)	
	_								

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4) 🔲 lr	iterview Summary (PTO-413)
Р	aper No(s)/Mail Date
5) 🗆 N	otice of Informal Patent Appli

6)		Other:
----	--	--------

Application/Control Number: 10/803,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

- I. Rejection of claims 1,6-8, 10-12 under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement will be maintained in light of amendment filed 12/27/06 for reason on record and reason as follows. Applicant argues that ratios (1:1 and 2.5:1) in the claims are derived from specific examples in the specification. Applicant argues that the MPEP allows for the use of data points from the specific examples in the specification as amended endpoints. Examiner agrees that the MPEP supports the employment of parameters from the examples into the claims. However, Examiner argues that the MPEP does not support the creation of ratio endpoint ranges from percentage data points used in the examples. Note, Claim 9 is not included in this rejection since support for range, 375:1 to 1:6, is provided on page 1 line 28 of the specification.
- II. Rejection of claims 1,6-8,10-12 under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Yamaguchi will be maintained in light of amendment filed 12/27/06 for reason on record and reason as follows. Applicants argue that they have obtained unexpected synergistic interaction between MIT and MBI in the claimed range ratios and that Yamaguchi contains no data illustrating any synergistic interaction at any ratio. Examiner acknowledges applicants' data obtained from the combination of MIT:MBI in a ratio of 1:1. However, Examiner reiterates that Yamaguchi discloses MIT:MBI in a ratio of 1:1.33. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the ratio (1:1) claimed by

Application/Control Number: 10/803,237 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

applicant since it is so close to the claimed ratio (1:33) taught by Yamaguchi. In the absence of unexpected results, one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the 1:1.33 for MIT:MBI is extremely close to and rounds off to the claimed ratio of 1:1 for MIT:MBI. One would have expected data collected using both ratios to be similar due to the closeness of the claimed ratio and Yamaguchi's ratio.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-5,9 are allowable. Applicant provides synergistic results for compositions comprising alkyl-4-isothiazolin-3-one and dithiobis(N-methylbenzamide).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1616

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616